



PERSO-INDICA

*An Analytical Survey of Persian Works
on Indian Learned Traditions*

OFFPRINT



PERSO-INDICA.

AN ANALYTICAL SURVEY OF PERSIAN WORKS ON INDIAN LEARNED TRADITIONS

is published on line at: www.perso-indica.net
ISSN: 2267-2753

CHIEF EDITORS

Fabrizio Speziale (École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales, Paris)

Carl W. Ernst (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill)

INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY BOARD

Muzaffar Alam (University of Chicago, Illinois)

Marc Gaborieau (Formerly Professor, École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales, Paris)

Fathullah Mojtaba'i (Tehran)

Sheldon Pollock (Columbia University, New York)

Francis Richard (Paris)

Sreeramula Rajeswara Sarma (Formerly Professor, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh)

Chander Shekhar (University of Delhi, New Delhi)

SECTION EDITORS

Carl W. Ernst (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill)

Supriya Gandhi (Yale University, New Haven)

Susanne Kurz (Ruhr-Universität, Bochum)

Corinne Lefèvre (École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales, Paris)

Eva Orthmann (University of Göttingen, Göttingen)

Katherine Butler Schofield (King's College, London)

Pegah Shahbaz (Robert H. N. Ho Family Foundation)

Fabrizio Speziale (École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales, Paris)

Audrey Truschke (Rutgers University, Newark)

ADDRESS

Perso-Indica
c/o Fabrizio Speziale
École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales
Centre d'études de l'Inde et de l'Asie du sud
54 Boulevard Raspail
75006, Paris
France
e-mail: fabrizio.speziale@ehess.fr

Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ

The chief compiler and writer of the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* (Compendium of Chronicles), Rašīd al-Dīn Faḏl Allāh Hamadānī, was born in a Jewish family in Hamadan in 647/1249-50, and later on converted to Islam. After studying in various places in Iran, he served the Ilkhanid court, most likely as a physician. In 1298, the seventh ruler of the Ilkhanids, Ġāzān Ḥān (r. 1295-1304), appointed him as co-chief vizier with Sa'd al-Dīn Sāwajī (d. 1312); in this position Rašīd al-Dīn assisted Ġāzān in formulating reform policy. Under the rule of Ġāzān's younger brother and successor Öljaitü (r. 1304-16), Rašīd al-Dīn assumed considerable power at the court. However, at the beginning of Abū Sa'īd's reign in 1316, Rašīd al-Dīn fell from power as the result of a political dispute with his rival Tāj al-Dīn 'Alī-Šāh (d. 724/1324), and was eventually executed in 718/1318 together with one of his sons, Ibrāhīm, (Browne 2003, pp. 68-71; Iwatake 2002, pp. 1036-37; Melville 2008, p. 462).

During his years as chief vizier, Rašīd al-Dīn wrote a series of works in addition to the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ*, among them *al-Majmū'a al-Rašīdiyya* (Compendium of Rašīd), a collection of four of his theological works, and the *Tanksūq-nāma* (Precious Book), a collection of Persian translations of Chinese scientific books, including a translation of a medical book, *Maijue*, attributed to Wang Shuhe (Haneda 1995; Berlekamp 2010; Lo and Wang 2013). Moreover, Rašīd al-Dīn built the Rab'-i Rašīdiyya, a quarter in the suburb of Tabriz, which was named after him and included a mosque, a library, a hospital, an orphanage and other public buildings; here were also produced the copies of his works, which were sent to various places of the Mongol Empire (Iwatake 1997; Canby 2012, p. 143). In particular, Rašīd al-Dīn ordered that two copies of the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* - one in Persian and one in Arabic - should be produced every year at the Rab'-i Rašīdiyya. The Arabic copies were certainly

intended to be distributed in the cities of the Arabic speaking world (Melville 2008, p. 465).

Rašīd al-Dīn's magnum opus, the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ*, is one of the most important Persian historical works composed during the Mongol period. The text is divided into three volumes (*mujallad*). Volume one, which had been commissioned by Ġāzān but was not completed before his death, comprises a history of the Turks and Mongols, and a history of Genghis Khan's family up to Ġāzān's death. Volume two consists of two parts, of which first dealt with the history of Öljaitü, though it is missing in surviving manuscripts. The second part is the so-called histories of the "people of the world" (*aqwām-i ahl-i 'ālam*), in two halves: the first half includes chapters (*bāb*) on Adam and the ancient Prophets, Islamic history from the prophet Muḥammad to the 'Abbasid caliphate, the Ghaznavids, the Seljuqs, the Khwarazmshahs, the Salghurids, and the Ismā'īlis; the second half consists of the histories of Oğuz Turks, China, Jews, Franks and India. Volume three is a geographical compendium of which no manuscript has survived to date, although we know some of its contents from the *Tārīḥ-i Banākātī* (Shiraiwa 1995, pp. 182-183; see Ogura 2019b). In addition, accounts in Rašīd al-Dīn's theological work *Laṭā'if al-ḥaqā'iq* and the anthology of his works (*Majmū'a-yi taṣānīf-i Rašīdī*) suggest that a volume on genealogical charts of the people of the world was later on inserted between volume two and three (Rašīd al-Dīn 2537/1978-9, pp. 13-14; Rašīd al-Dīn 1968, pp. clix-clx; Otsuka 2018, p. 120).

Previous scholars, such as Jahn and Boyle, have considered the second volume of the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* as "the first world history" (Jahn 1965, pp. ix-x; Boyle 1971, p. 21). However, Otsuka has recently shown that the greater part of this volume is based on the *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ* of Abū al-Qāsim Qāšānī, who was an assistant of Rašīd al-Dīn in the composition of his *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* (Otsuka 2018, Otsuka 2019a). The part on the histories of the "people of the world" of *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* has two different dates, 703/1303-1304 and 705/1305-1306, which indicate that it was not completed before 705/1305-1306 (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, pp. 4, 73). On the other hand, the chapter on India of the *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ* was completed in 703/1303-1304 (Qāšānī, *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ*, Ms. Tehran, Dānišgāh-i Tihṙān, 9067, f. 334b; see Otsuka 2018, pp. 130-134 and Otsuka 2019a). The fact that volume two of the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* contains a great number of textual parallels with the *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ* would also suggest that the Arabic version of the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* was produced after the compilation of the Persian version. Moreover, the chapter on India of the Arabic version includes three

Persian verses which are also in the Persian version and were most likely taken from it (Rašīd al-Dīn, *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ*, Ms. London, Khalīlī 727, f. 26b-27a).

According to Rašīd al-Dīn, the main sources of his chapter on India are the *Kitāb fī taḥqīq mā li-al-Hind* (henceforth, *Kitāb al-Hind*) of Abū Rayḥān al-Bīrūnī (d. after 442/1050), and the information given by a Kashmiri Buddhist monk named Kamalāśrī (seemingly for Kamalaśrī, the “prosperous red lotus”) (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, pp. 1-2). Kamalāśrī did also assist Qāšānī in the writing of the *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ*. According to earlier studies, Kamalāśrī was the author of ten brief Sanskrit works on Buddhism that were translated into Tibetan during the same period; he is also said to have consecrated a Buddhist mandala dedicated to Bodhisattva Avalokiteśvara, whose name appears on a Śāradā inscription in Kashmir dated 1236, but we are yet to reach a definite conclusion (Naudou 1980, p. 255; Deambi 1982, pp. 110-112; Canby 2012, p. 143; Yoeli-Tlalim 2013, p. 202). Among the Sanskrit sources on Buddhism that Kamalāśrī brought to the attention of his Muslim patrons and which were used in the *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ* and the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ*, Schopen has identified several: the *Devatāsūtra* that includes questions and answers between a celestial being and Buddha, the *Āryavāsiṣṭhasūtra* or its Tibetan translation the *'Phags pa gnas 'jog gi mdo* that includes a dialogue between Buddha and the hermit Vasiṣṭha, and the *Maitreyavyākaraṇa*, Buddha’s comment on the future coming of the Bodhisattva Maitreya (Schopen 1982). In addition, Sakaki supposes that Rašīd al-Dīn’s account of hells in Buddhist thought derives from those of the Pāli *Aggañña-sutta* included in the *Dīgha-nikāya*, a collection of Pāli Buddhist texts transmitted by the Dharmaguptaka school (Sakaki 2000, p. 14). The information on the history of Delhi after the Ghaznavid ruler Maḥmūd ibn Sebüktegin (r. 998-1030) is based on Jūzjānī’s *Ṭabaqāt-i Nāširī* (Jahn 1965, p. xiii).

The chapter on India is divided in two subchapters (*qism*): the first subchapter contains ten sections (*fasl*) which provide information on measures of time and eras, geography (sections ii, iii and iv), brief histories of Delhi and Kashmir (v and vi), and the kings who ruled in each of the four epochs (*yuga*) in Indic thought (sections vii to x; the Arabic version contains eleven sections, because section eight of the Persian version is divided into two parts). The second subchapter contains twenty sections that deal with the beliefs and teachings of Indian religions, the life and teachings of Śakyamuni (Śākamūnī in Persian script), a name for Buddha (sections ii to ix, xvii, xviii), explanations about hells (*dūzah*), heavens (*bihīšt*), and metempsychosis

(*tanāsuh*) in Buddhist thought (sections x to xiv), and a description of the diffusion of Buddhist schools and other Indic religions in India and Mongolia at the beginning of the fourteenth century (section xix). This chapter ends with a note on Rašīd al-Dīn's refutation of the belief of metempsychosis from an Islamic viewpoint. On the other hand, the Arabic version of the chapter on India contains twenty-one sections, although the surviving manuscripts are incomplete. It is possible that a section was divided into two sections when translated into Arabic or that a section was added to the Arabic version. The Arabic version of the chapter on India includes an appendix, describing briefly eleven books on Buddhism, which is not present in the Persian version.

The first *qism* of the Persian version is titled “on the calculation of years, centuries, and knowledge of the land of India, the nature, quantity, and number of mountains, rivers, cities, villages, hamlets, citadels, and islands, and a history of the kings of Delhi and Kashmir (*dar ḥisāb-i a'wām wa qurūn wa ma'rifat-i diyār-i Hind wa kayfiyyat wa kamiyyat wa a'dād-i kūhhā wa ābhā wa qurā wa ziyā' wa qaṣabāt wa jazā'ir, wa tārīḥ-i pādšāhān-i Dihlī wa Kašmīr*).” The accounts about Indian measures of time and eras (section one) by and large follow those of al-Bīrūnī's *Kitāb al-Hind*, and the topographical information (section two to four) is quite detailed. However, the accounts on the history of Delhi (section five) conflate different passages of al-Bīrūnī at the cost of intelligibility. Section five, on the history of Delhi, begins with the story of Kṛṣṇa Vāsudeva, the eighth incarnation of Viṣṇu and a hero in the *Mahābhārata* war, the account of which is based on chapter forty-seven of the *Kitāb al-Hind* (al-Bīrūnī 1377/1958, pp. 336-340; Sachau 2003, vol. 1, pp. 400-406). Rašīd al-Dīn afterwards turns to his successors, starting with Arjuna and after eight generations ending with Bhīmapāla, son of Trilocanapāla of the Hindūśāhids, who was killed by Maḥmūd ibn Sebüktegin in 412/1021-1022 (Rahman 1988; Mashita 2011, pp. 82-83). These eight kings are mentioned in chapter forty-nine of the *Kitāb al-Hind* (al-Bīrūnī 1377/1958, pp. 349-351; Sachau 2003, vol. 2, pp. 11-14). As Mashita has pointed out, this rough combination of two independent passages from the *Kitāb al-Hind* resulted in such an unconvincing narration in this section that there are only eight generations between the mythic time of the *Mahābhārata* War and 412/1021-1022 (Mashita 2011, pp. 82-83).

Section five describes also the history of Delhi during the early Delhi sultanate period, up to 703/1303-1304, in the middle of the reign of 'Alā' al-Dīn Ḥaljī (r. 1296-1316), briefly referring to the Chagataid emir Qutluḡ Ḥwāja's expedition to Delhi in 1299-1300 (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, p. 66). In section six dealing with the

history of Kashmir, which is not included in the *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ*, Rašīd al-Dīn gives information about the first legendary king of Kashmir, Pravarasena, and his successors. This genealogy does not entirely correspond with the genealogical information given by Kalhaṇa in his *Rājataranḡiṇī* (see Ogura 2019a), a history of Kashmir. Moreover, Kamalaśrī states the *kaliyuga* began at the time when Yudhiṣṭhira was enthroned after the *Mahābhārata* war, while Kalhaṇa claims the *Mahābhārata* war occurred in the year 653 of *kaliyuga* (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, p. 92; Kalhaṇa 1961, verses 1.48-56). This would suggest that Kamalaśrī, in spite of his Kashmiri origin, did not have access to Kalhaṇa's *Rājataranḡiṇī*, possibly because, as a Buddhist, he did not belong to the community of Kashmiri pandits who kept up the tradition of the *Rājataranḡiṇī*. On the other hand, Kamalaśrī's claim that Kashmiri people identified Lalitāditya (r. 724-760), the fifth king of the Kārkoṭa dynasty, who conquered most parts of North India, with Alexander the Great (*Dū al-qarnayn*), seems to reflect Kashmiri narratives which were not recorded in the *Rājataranḡiṇīs* (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, p. 70; Jahn 1980, p. 11). Section six then describes lives and deeds of the Hindu rajas of Kashmir. The text correctly records the names of the last four rajas of the second Lohara dynasty (1101-1320), Rāmadeva (r. 1252-73), Lakṣmadeva (r. 1273-86), Siṃhadeva (r. 1286-1301), and Sūhadeva (r. 1301-20). However, Rašīd al-Dīn adds the unlikely statement that rajas of Kashmir ascended the throne in accordance with the formal diploma (*yarliḡ*) issued by the great Khans of the Mongol empire, beginning from the reign of Möngke (r. 1251-59) (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, p. 74).

Sections from seven to ten provide accounts of the kings who ruled in each of the four epochs (*yuga*), parts of which are based on Sanskrit epics and *purāṇas*. Section seven on the histories of the kings and Brahmins of the *kṛtayuga*, begins with the story of Kaśyapa Prajāpati, his wife Aditi, and the birth of Sūrya, one of the Āditya sun-gods; it closes with an account of the foundation of the dynasty of Ikṣvāku, a descendant of the Āditya sun-gods who ruled over four climates, and whose dynasty continued until the reign of Sagara in the *kṛtayuga*. The story of Hariścandra included in this chapter is presumably a brief quotation from the *Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa*. Among the stories contained in section eight on the kings of the *tretāyuga*, the stories of Dilīpa, son of Sagara, and Raghu seem to be based on Kālidāsa's epic poem *Raghuvaṃśa*. In addition, section eight includes episodes from the *Rāmāyana* (the story of Rāma who went to Laṅkā Island to retrieve his wife Sītā from the demonic being Rāvaṇa). Section nine features two stories: the first is the story of king Kārtavīrya (who ruled

at the beginning of *dvāparayuga*), sage Jamadāgni, and his son Paraśurāma, the sixth incarnation of Viṣṇu. The second story is about the Pāṇḍavas and Kauravas at the end of *dvāparayuga* and is based on the *Mahābhārata*. Rašīd al-Dīn here clearly recognizes Vyāsa as the author of the *Mahābhārata* and he further states that Gaṇeśa wrote it down and that the book recorded what happened at the end of the *dvāparayuga* and at the beginning of the *kaliyuga* (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, p. 89). Following Qāšānī, Rašīd al-Dīn identifies Vyāsa as a prophet (Qāšānī, *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ*, Ms. Tehran, Dānišgāh-i Tih-rān, 9067, f. 339b; Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, p. 92).

The last *faṣl* of *qism* one deals with the kings who ruled during the *kaliyuga*: it begins with the enthronement of king Yudhiṣṭhira told by Vyāsa, followed by a brief story of Buddha's birth 3,500 years after the commencement of this epoch. The latter half of this section comprises a rough (and inaccurate) sketch of histories of the Nanda Dynasty (4th century BC), the first three kings of the Maurya empire, Śrī Harṣadeva (of Vardhana c. 590-647?), Śrī Vikramāditya of Ujjain, and Lalitāditya of Kashmir. As Jahn and Melville have pointed out, this section contains a remarkable claim, namely that the Mongol rulers including Genghis Khan were of Indian origin (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, pp. 98-99), which clearly contradicts the genealogy of the Mongols as the descendants of the legendary ancestress Alanqū'ā which is narrated in the first volume of the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* (Jahn 1965, pp. lxiii-lxxxvi; Melville 2008, p. 465). This incongruous narrative is certainly due to the fact that Rašīd al-Dīn reproduces faithfully the same statement on the Indian origin of the Mongols made by Qāšānī in the chapter on India of the *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ* (Qāšānī, *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ*, Ms. Tehran, Dānišgāh-i Tih-rān, 9067, f. 340b).

Rašīd al-Dīn does not attempt to merge or compare the different historical perspectives provided by his two main sources, al-Bīrūnī's *Kitāb al-Hind* and Kamalāsrī's information. He juxtaposes three timelines of events which go from the mythic period up to the author's epoch - in section five on the history of Delhi, in section six on the history of Kashmir, and in sections from seven to ten on the rulers flourished in the four *yugas* - and does not explain the incongruous accounts between the different sections. In this regard, the chapter on India is different from the other chapters of the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ*. Rašīd al-Dīn gathers together various narratives on India's history as they were related by his written and oral sources and does not try to combine them into a single succession of historical events. On the other hand, the *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ* contains only two timelines, that of the rulers of the four *yugas*,

based on Kamalaśrī's information, and that of the history of Delhi, based on the *Kitāb al-Hind* (Qāshānī, *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ*, Ms. Tehran, Dānišgāh-i Tihṙān, 9067, ff. 336a-341a, ff. 341a-346a).

The second *qism* is titled “on the history of India and the nature of the birth of Śakyamuni, his biography and teachings, and the [various] mode(s) of metempsychosis such as transformation(s) into another human being, an animal, a plant, and a mineral (*az tāriḥ-i Hind wa kayfiyat-i wilādat-i Šākamūnī wa ḥālāt wa maqālāt wa waż'-i tanāsuḥ az nash wa mash wa rash wa fash*).” It begins with Kamalaśrī's account (*riwāyāt*) about Indic religions and the prophets of the Hindus (*paygambārān-i hunūd*) who have law and principles (*šarī'at wa uṣūl*) (section one). Six prophets who embody six different creeds are presented: Maheśvara (Śiva), Viṣṇu, Brahmā, *arhanta*[s] (plural nominative form of the Sanskrit word *arhat*, i.e. sages of Jains), Nāstika (atheist), and Buddha. The number six is probably derived from the commonly known notion of six schools of Indian philosophy in Sanskrit doxographies (see Gerschheimer 2000). Regarding the personification of deities and religions as human prophets, Jahn suggests that Kamalaśrī attempted to accommodate the narrative with the Islamic belief that a religion is founded by a prophet (Jahn 1965, p. xxxv).

Furthermore, Rašīd al-Dīn summarizes the views of each Indic prophet. According to him, the believers are sincere but they are opposed to each other and they try to find faults in the other's religion. The account that all Brahmins are considered worshippers of Brahmā and they categorize the adherents of Śaivism, Vaiṣṇavism, and Brahmanism into four castes, which were born from Brahmā's forehead, chest, navel and genitals, seems to be taken and adapted from the *puruṣasūkta* hymn of the *Ṛgveda* about the primordial man (*puruṣa*). Rašīd al-Dīn's description of Śaivism, Vaiṣṇavism and Brahmanism includes, among others, information about fire-worshipping, sun-worshipping, the belief that Brahmā has four heads and each head created a Veda, and that Viṣṇu is incarnated ten times in each epoch. The description of Jains and Nāstikas is less developed; the account on Nāstikas describes the philosophy of the Lokāyatas, the materialist thinkers. The view of Buddhists is placed at the end of this section, in which Rašīd al-Dīn says that there are three groups among them according to the degree of perfection: *śrāvaka* (hearer), *pratyekabuddha* (lone Buddha), and *samyaksambuddha* (complete enlightenment). In addition, he introduces the contents of a famous book of Buddhism titled *Abhidharma* (a series of scholarly exegeses and commentaries on Buddhist sutras), and the names of the past seven Buddhas

who flourished after the commencement of *bhadrakalpa* (the beautiful eon): Vipasyin, Śikhin, Viśvabhū, Krakucchandra, Kanakamuni, Kaśyapa, and Śakyamuni. Rašīd al-Dīn here glosses the word *kalpa* (eon) – according to *faṣl* one of *qism* one, the duration of a *kalpa* is 4,320,000,000 years – with the Persian term for “typhoon” (*tūfān*), possibly because Kamalaśrī described *kalpa* as is a cycle at the beginning of which a great typhoon washes everything away, like Noah’s flood. The information given in the section concerns mostly North India; there is no account of any school of thought of South India, such as the thoughts of Śaṅkara’s Advaita Vedānta and Rāmānuja’s Viśiṣṭādvaita.

From section two onward, the Persian text deals chiefly with Buddha’s biography: his birth (section two), the story of the four sights (section four), his penance and attaining of enlightenment (section five), his return to society, followed by some stories including a dialogue with a devil (*iblis*), an outline of ten evil deeds in Buddhism (section six), the well-known story of Anāthapiṇḍada at a house of worship (*‘ibādat-ḥāna*) in Śrāvastī, Buddha’s dialogue with a Brahmin named Vāsiṣṭha in which he mentions the names of the six heavens of desire in Buddhist cosmology (section seven), the stories of Buddha’s past lives (section eight), a dialogue between Buddha and an angel in Jetavana (sections fifteen and sixteen), and his death (section twenty). The account of Buddha’s teachings and Buddhism also includes a list of the thirty-two physical characteristics of the *mahāpuruṣa* (*mahāpuruṣalakṣaṇa*), glossed as the perfect man (*mard-i kāmil*) (section three), a Persian translation of a hymn (*stotra*) addressed to a Kuanyin (a Bodhisattva Lokeśvara in Chinese mahāyāna Buddhism) (section nine), Buddha’s teachings about the six paths of metempsychosis and eight hells (through a dialogue with an anonymous man, section ten), the deeds by which humans reincarnate as demons (section eleven), animals (section twelve), humans (section thirteen), in the states between humans and heavenly beings (i.e. the six heavens of desire, section fourteen), his teachings about a future prophet, Maitreya, through a dialogue with his disciple Ānanda (section seventeen), and his teachings about the stages of mankind, reward and punishment in heaven and hell, and commands and prohibitions (*amr wa nahy*) (section eighteen).

Like other Persian texts, the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* uses the Islamic categories of prophets and angels to define different Indic deities and personages. The text refers to Buddha as a prophet (*paygambar, nabī*) and variously refers to Indic deities like Śiva, Viṣṇu, and Indra as prophets or angels (*firišta, malak*). Brahmā is also called

“the king of nature” (*malik-i ṭabī'ī*) (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, p. 10). On the other hand, the *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ* defines all Indic deities as prophets. As in the cases of Indic deities, different Persian words (*iblis*, *dīw* and *šayṭān*) are used to refer to Indic devils and demons. However, the term *iblis* is used only for the Māra-pāpīyas who lives in Paranirmitavāsavartin, the highest of the six heavens of desire (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, pp. 118-119, 124); in the same passage Qāšānī uses the term *šayṭān* (Satan) (Qāšānī, *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ*, Ms. Tehran, Dānišgāh-i Tihṙān, 9067, f. 350b). With regard to rebirth, the six paths of metempsychosis in Buddhism are presented according to the way they are explained in earlier Arabic treatises on religions, i.e. *nash* (transformation into another human), *masḥ* (transformation into animal), *rash* (transformation into vegetation), and *fash* (transformation into mineral) (Gimaret, pp. 181-182; Sakaki 2000, p. 18), while the accounts of the deeds resulting in the transmigration into each particular form correspond to those described in Buddhist sources. The description of Buddhist hells and heavens mentions only the names of the eight hot hells (Saṅjīva, Kālasūtra, Tāpana, Pratāpana, Saṅghāta, Raurava, Mahāraurava, and Avīci) while the eight cold hells are not mentioned (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, pp. 131-137; Sakaki 2000, p. 14). The text spells properly the names of the six heavens of desire (Caturmahārājika, Trāyastriṅśa, Yāma, Tuṣita, Nirmānarati, and Paranirmitavāsavartin) although only the realms above them are referred to without giving their names; the “seventeen formed realms” (*rūpadhātu*) are called “the physical world (*‘ālam-i jismānī*),” while the “four formless realms” (*ārūpyadhātu*) are glossed as “the spiritual world (*‘ālam-i rūḥānī*)” (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, pp. 124-125).

The translation of certain Indic terms seems to have been a difficult task. For example, the term Veda, that means “knowledge” in Sanskrit, is translated in the Persian text as “pierced pearls” (*murwārīdhā-yi sufta*) (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, p. 103). The translation of the titles of Sanskrit texts was also problematic. For instance, the title *Abhidharma* is explained in Persian as “the first and the last of all books” while its correct meaning would be “higher teachings” or “with regard to teachings” (Rašīd al-Dīn 1374š/2005, p. 104; see also Cox 1995, pp. 3-4; Yoeli-Tlalim 2013, p. 204). The same interpretations of the titles of Sanskrit texts are found in the chapter on India of the *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ*, suggesting that Rašīd al-Dīn reproduced Qāšānī’s description of these books (Qāšānī, *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ*, Ms. Tehran, Dānišgāh-i Tihṙān, 9067, ff. 346b-347a). As both Qāšānī and Rašīd al-Dīn did not know Indic languages, is likely

to presume that for the translation and glosses of Indic terms they had to rely chiefly on the oral explanations provided by Kamalaśrī and other informants.

The *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* circulated among later Muslim scholars in both the Persian and the Arabic versions. Certain copies were also illustrated, including the chapter on India (see Blair 1995; Gray 1978). For example, one of the Persian manuscripts kept at the Topkapı Palace Library in Istanbul contains twenty-three miniatures illustrating the mountains of India, flora and fauna, architectures, scenes from the history of Delhi and the rulers of the four *yugas* (Ms. Istanbul, Topkapı Palace Library Hazine 1654, ff. 330b, 331a, 331b, 332a, 333a, 333b, 334a, 335a, 336a, 337b, 338a, 338b, 339a, 339b, 340a, 341a, 342b, 343b). The Arabic manuscript of the Khalīlī collection contains nine miniatures illustrating the mountains of India, the battle between Kauravas and Pāṇḍavas, the execution of Jalāl al-Dīn Ḥalījī (r. 1290-96), the enthronement of the Kashmiri king Yaśaskara (r. 939-48), the death of Rāvaṇa, Śākyamuni's offering fruits to Māra-pāpīyas, a depiction of Jetavana, and Śākyamuni's grave (Rašīd al-Dīn, *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ*, Ms. London, Khalīlī Collection, 727, ff. 21a, 22a, 25a, 27a, 28b, 30b, 34a, 36b, 37b; Blair 1995, pp. 73-79).

However, it doesn't seem that South Asian Muslim historians used the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* very often as a source on Indian history. This was probably due to the juxtaposition of multiple timelines in the historical sections and the absence of an explanation of the relationship between Indian history and pre-Islamic history – such as the notion that mythic kings of India seemed to live before the time of Adam. Among the Persian chronicles composed in South Asia during the Sultanate and Mughal periods, only the anonymous *Bahāristān-i šāhī* (completed between 1023/1614 and 1027/1618), the *Tārīḥ-i Ḥaydarī* of Ḥaydar Rāzī (completed in 1027/1618), and the *Muntaḥab al-tawārīḥ* of Muḥammad Yūsuf Aṭakī Kan'ānī (completed in 1056/1646-1647) seem to have used materials on Indian history drawn from the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* (Mashita 2011, p. 66; Ogura 2011, pp. 47-52).

British orientalist re-discovered Rašīd al-Dīn's chapter on India in the nineteenth century. After Hugh Falconer (1808-65) found a complete manuscript of the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* in the library of the East India Company (Ms. London, British Library, India Office Islamic 3524), Henry Miers Elliot (1808-77), editor of the famous series *The History of India As Told by Its Own Historians* (published in London between 1867 and 1877), requested a scribe to make a copy of the chapter on India (Ms.

London, British Library, Or. 2007, completed on the 19th of *jumāda al-tānī* 1267/April 21st, 1851). Moreover, William Hook Morley (1815-60), a trustee of the Royal Asiatic Society, himself produced a Persian copy of this chapter (Ms. London, British Library, India Office Islamic 3628; see Morley 1842, p. 267; Otsuka 2016b, pp. 91-94). In the mid-twentieth century, the orientalist Karl Jahn (1906-85) published the facsimiles of the sections on history of India of three manuscripts of the *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ* with a collection of his essays on this material (Jahn 1965, facsimiles of Ms. London, Royal Asiatic Society A. 27; Ms. Istanbul, Topkapı Palace Library Hazine 1654; Ms. London, British Library Add. 7628). Jahn made also a German translation of the chapter on India (Rašīd al-Dīn 1980).

Manuscripts: **St. Petersburg**, National Library, PNS46, ff. 459, **ii**) mid *muḥarram* 810/June 1407, **iii**) al-‘Abd Ḥājī Musāfir al-‘Attār, **viii**) Kostygova 1973, pp. 61-62. **London**, British Library, Add. 7628, ff. 728, **ii**) before 1433, **viii**) Rieu 1879-83, vol. 1, pp. 74a-78a. **Istanbul**, Topkapı Palace Library, Ahmet III 2935, ff. 406, **ii**) Early 15 century, **iii**) Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad al-Buḥārī, **iv**) Uluḡ Beg, **viii**) Karatay 1961, p. 43. **Tehran**, University Library, 8791, ff. 444, **ii**) 15 century, **viii**) Dāniš-pažūh 1364š/1985, p. 222. **München**, Bayerische Staatsbibliothek, Cod. Pers. 208/2, ff. 176, **ii**) 16th-17th century?, **viii**) Aumer 1866, pp. 71-72. **Tehran**, Gulistān Palace, 2256, ff. 613, **ii**) last day of *šawwāl* 1074/25 May 1664, **iii**) ibn Šayḥ Mīr ‘Alam, **iv**) Qilīj Ḥān ibn Sārū Ḥān, **viii**) Ātābāy 2536/1977, pp. 84-87. **London**, British Library, India Office Islamic 3524, ff. 599, **ii**) 6 *ša ‘bān* 1082/8 December 1671, **iii**) Tāhir ibn ‘Abd al-Bāqī ‘Alā’ī, **viii**) Ethé 1980, vol. 1, pp. 1524-1529. **Manchester**, John Rylands Library, 364b, ff. 29b-63a, **ii**) around 1800, **viii**) Kerney 1898, p. 269. **London**, British Library, Add. 18878, ff. 164, **ii**) 5 *rabī‘ al-awwal* 1244/16 September 1828, **viii**) Rieu 1879-83, vol. 1, pp. 79a-79b. **Tehran**, Majlis Library, 8734, ff. 607, **ii**) *muḥarram* 1245/July-August 1829, **viii**) Ḥusaynī-Iškiwarī 1388š/2009 p. 234a-234b. **St. Petersburg**, National Library, Khan 62, ff. 477, **ii**) 6 *ša ‘bān* 1256/3 October 1840, **viii**) Storey - Bregel’ 1972, p. 309. **London**, British Library, Or. 2007, ff. 122, **ii**) 19 *jumāda al-tānī* 1267/21 April 1851, **iv**) Henry Elliot, **viii**) Rieu 1879-83, vol. 3, pp. 882b-883a. **Tehran**, National Library, F. 1606, ff. 361, ll. 26, **ii**) 19th century, **viii**) Anwār 1371 š./1992, pp. 92-93. **London**, British Library, Or. 2062/4, ff. 24a-59a, **ii**) 19th century, **viii**) Rieu 1879-83, vol. 3, p. 1057a. **London**, British Library, India Office Islamic 3628, ff. 323, **ii**) 19th century, **viii**) Ethé 1980, vol. 2, p. 1. **London**,

British Library, Or. 1786, ff. 167, **ii**) late 19th century, **viii**) Rieu 1879-83, vol. 3, p. 883b. **Paris**, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Suppl. pers. 1364-1365, ff. 336+239, **ii**) late 19 century, **viii**) Blochet 1905-34, vol. 1, pp. 203-204.

Illustrated manuscripts: **Istanbul**, Topkapı Palace Library, Hazine 1654, ff. 352, **ii**) 3 *jumāda al-awwal* 717/14 July 1317, **vi**) 118 illustrations, **viii**) Karatay 1961, p. 393. **St. Petersburg**, National Library, PNS 47, ff. 607, **ii**) 1268/1851-2, **iii**) Kniaz Dolgorukii, **vi**) 11 illustrations, **viii**) Kostygova 1973, pp. 62-63.

Legend: i) Place of copying; ii) Period of copying; iii) Copyist; iv) Commissioner; v) Information on colophon; vi) Description of miniatures/illustrations; vii) Other remarks; viii) Information on catalogue(s)

Edition: *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ (tārīḥ-i Hind wa Sind wa Kašmīr)*, Muḥammad Rawšan, ed., Tehran, Mīrāt-i Maktūb, 1384/2005, pp. 57, 465.

German translation: Rašīd al-Dīn Faḏl Allāh Hamadānī, 1980, *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ, Die Indiangeschichte des Rašīd ad-Dīn*, K. Jahn, tr., Wien, Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.

Secondary sources bibliography: **Akasoy**, Anna, 2013, "The Buddha and the Straight Path. Rashīd al-Dīn's *Life of the Buddha*: Islamic Perspectives," in: A. Akasoy - C. Bennett – R. Y. Tlalim, eds., *Rashīd al-Dīn: Agent and Mediator of Cultural Exchanges in Ilkhanid Iran*, London, The Warburg Institute, pp. 173-196. **Anwār**, 'Abd Allāh, 1371š/1992, *Fihrist-i nusaḥ-i ḥaṭṭī-yi kitāb-ḥāna-yi millī-yi Īrān*, vol. 4, Tehran, Kitāb-ḥāna-yi Millī-yi Īrān. **Ātābāy**, Badrī, 2536/1977, *Fihrist-i tārīḥ, safar-nāma, siyāḥat-nāma, rūz-nāma va juḡrāfiyā-yi ḥaṭṭī-yi Kitāb-ḥāna-yi Saḷṭanatī*, Tehran, Čāp-ḥāna-yi Zībā. **Aumer**, Joseph, 1866, *Die persischen Handschriften der K. Hof- und Staatsbibliothek in München*, München, Palm. **Berlekamp**, Persis, 2010, "The Limits of Artistic Exchange in Fourteenth-Century Tabriz: The Paradox of Rashid al-Din's Book on Chinese Medicine, part 1," *Muqarnas*, 27, pp. 209-250. **Bīrūnī**, Abū Rayḥān Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad al-, 1377/1958, *Kitāb al-Bīrūnī fī taḥqīq mā li-al-Hind*, Hyderabad, Dā'irat al-Ma'ārif al-Osmania. **Blair**, Sheila S., 1995, *A Compendium of Chronicles: Rashid al-Din's illustrated history of the world*, London, Oxford University Press. **Boyle**, John Andrew, 1971, "Rashīd al-

Dīn: The First World Historian,” *Iran*, 9, pp. 19-26. **Browne**, Edward G., 2003, *A Literary History of Persia*, vol. 3, Lahore, Sang-e Meel (1st ed., 1920). **Canby**, Sheila R., 2012, “Depictions of Buddha Sakyamuni in the *Jāmi' al-Tawārīḥ* and the *Majma' al-Tawārīḥ*,” in: Lloyd Ridgeon, ed., *Islam and Religious Diversity: Critical Concepts in Islamic Studies*, vol. 3: Eastern Religions, London and New York, Routledge, pp. 141-154 (Originally published in: *Muqarnas*, 10, pp. 299-310, 1993). **Cox**, Collet, 1995, *Disputed Dharmas, Early Buddhist Theories on Existence: An Annotated Translation of the Section on Factors Dissociated from Thought from Saṅghabhadra's Nyāyānusāra*, Tokyo, The International Institute for Buddhist Studies. **Dānišpažūh**, Muḥammad Taqī, 1364š/1985, *Fihrist-i Nuṣṣahā-yi Ḥaṭṭī-yi Kitāb-ḥāna-yi Markazī wa Markaz-i Asnād-i Dānišgāh-i Tihṙān*, Tehran, Dānišgāh-i Tihṙān. **Deambi**, B. K. Kaul, 1982, *Corpus of Śāradā Inscriptions of Kashmir: with special reference to Origin and Development of Śāradā Script*, Delhi, Agam Kala Prakashan. **Ethé**, Hermann, 1980, *Catalogue of Persian manuscripts in the India Office Library*, London, India Office Library & Records, Foreign and Commonwealth Office (Originally published in Oxford Printed for the India Office, 1903-1937). **Gerschheimer**, Gerdi, 2000, “Systèmes «philosophico-religieux» (*darśana*) indiens et doxographies,” *Annuaire de l'École pratique des hautes études, Section des sciences religieuses*, Volume 113, Numéro 109, pp. 173-189. **Ghiasian**, Mohamad Reza, 2018, “The Topkapı Manuscript of the *Jāmi' al-Tawārīḥ* (Hazine 1654) from Rashidiya to the Ottoman Court: A Preliminary Analysis,” *Iranian Studies*, 51/3, pp. 399-425. **Gimaret**, D., 1998, “Tanāsukh,” *Encyclopedia of Islam New Edition*, pp. 181-183. **Gray**, Basil, 1978, *The World History of Rashid al-Din*, London - Boston. **Haneda**, Ko'ichi, 1995, “Persia go yaku ‘O Shukuwa Meiketsu’ no chūgokugo genpon ni tsuite (On the Original Chinese Text of the Persian Translation of “Mo-chueh”),” *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 48-49, pp. 719-726. **Ḥusaynī Iṣkiwarī**, Ja'far, 1388š/2009, *Fihrist-i nuṣṣahā-yi ḥaṭṭī-i Kitāb-ḥāna-yi Majlis-i Šūrā-yi Islāmī*, vol. 28, Tehran, Kitāb-ḥāna, Mūza wa Markaz-i Asnād-i Majlis-i Šūrā-yi Islāmī. **Iwatake**, Akio, 1997, “Rašīd chosaku zenshū no hensan: Waṣṣāf-shi chosha jihitsu shahon no kijutsu yori (The Compilation of ‘the Collected Works of Rashīd’: According to the Text of Waṣṣāf's Autograph Manuscript),” *Toyo Gakuho*, 78/4, pp. 1-31. **Iwatake**, Akio, 2002, “Rašīd al-Dīn,” *Iwanami Islām Jiten*, Tokyo, Iwanami. **Jahn**, Karl, 1965, *Rashīd al-Dīn's History of India: Collected Essays with Facsimiles and Indices*, The Hague, Mouton & Co. **Jonarāja**, 2014, *Rājataranḡiṇī*, Edition and English

translation: *Kingship in Kaśmīr (AD 1148-1459): From the Pen of Jonarāja, Court Paṇḍit to Sulṭān Zayn al-‘Ābidīn*, W. Slaje, ed. and tr., Halle, Universitätsverlag Halle-Wittenberg. **Kalhaṇa**, 1961, *Rājataranḡiṇī*, Edition and English translation: *Kalhaṇa’s Rājataranḡiṇī: a chronicle of the kings of Kaśmīr*, vol. 3, M. A. Stein, ed. and tr., Delhi, Motilal Banarsidas. **Karatay**, Fehmi Edhem, 1961, *Topkapı Sarayı Müzesi Kütüphanesi Farsça yazmalar kataloĝu*, Istanbul, Topkapı Sarayı Müzesi Kütüphanesi. **Kerney**, Micael, 1898, *Bibliotheca Lindesiana, Hand-list of Oriental Manuscripts, Arabic, Persian, Turkish*, Aberdeen, Privately printed [Aberdeen University Press]. **Kostygova**, Galina Ivanovna, 1973, *Persidskie i tadžikskie rukopisi "novoj seri" i Gosudarstvennoj Publičnoj Biblioteki im. M. E. Saltykova-Ŝedrina: alfavitnyj katalog*, Leningrad, Gosudarstvennaâ Publičnaâ Biblioteka im. M.E. Saltykova-Ŝedrina. **Lo**, Vivienne - **Wang**, Yidan, 2013, “Blood or Qi Circulation? On the Nature of Authority In Rashīd al-Dīn’s *Tānksūknāma* (The Treasure Book of the Ilkhan on Chinese Science and Techniques),” in: A. Akasoy - C. Bennett – R. Y. Tlalim, eds., *Rashīd al-Dīn: Agent and Mediator of Cultural Exchanges in Ilkhanid Iran*, London, The Warburg Institute, pp. 127-172. **Mashita**, Hiroyuki, 2011, “Indo-Islam shakai no rekishisho ni okeru Indo-shi ni tsuite (Indian History depicted in Indo-Islamicate historical writings),” *Kobe Daigaku Bungakubu Kiyō*, 38, pp. 51-107. **Melikian-Chirvani**, Asadullah Souren, 1989, “Buddhism II. In Islamic Times,” *Encyclopaedia Iranica*, IV, 5, pp. 496-499. **Melville**, Charles, 2008, “Jāme‘ al-Tawārīḡ,” *Encyclopaedia Iranica*, XIV, 5, pp. 462-468. **Morley**, William Hook, 1842, “A Letter to Richard Clarke, esq., &c., &c., &c., Honorary Secretary to the Royal Asiatic Society, on a MS. of the Jāmi al-Tawārīḡ of Rashīd al Din, preserved in the Library of Honourable East India Company,” *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, 7, pp. 267-272. **Morley**, William Hook, 1854, *A descriptive catalogue of the historical manuscripts in the Arabic and Persian languages, preserved in the library of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland*, London, John W. Parker & Son. **Naudou**, Jean, 1980, *Buddhists of Kaśmīr*, Delhi, Agam Kala Prakashan (Originally published in French, Paris, Presses Universitaires de France, 1968). **Ogura**, Satoshi, 2011, “Transmission lines of historical information on Kaśmīr: from *Rājataranḡiṇīs* to the Persian chronicles in the early Muĝal period,” *Journal of Indological Studies*, 22 and 23, pp. 23-59. **Ogura**, Satoshi, 2019a, “*Rājataranḡiṇī*,” *Perso-Indica. An Analytical Survey of Persian Works on Indian Learned Traditions*, F. Speziale – C. W. Ernst, eds., forthcoming. **Ogura**, Satoshi, 2019b, “*Tārīḡ-i Banākatī*,” *Perso-Indica. An Analytical Survey of Persian*

Works on Indian Learned Traditions, F. Speziale – C. W. Ernst, eds., forthcoming. **Otsuka**, Osamu, 2015, “Ḥāfīz-i Abrū no rekishi hensan jigyō saikō: kaitēban *Shūshi* wo chūshin ni (Ḥāfīz-i Abrū’s Historiographical Enterprise Reconsidered: With Special Reference to the Revised Edition of the *Jāmi' al-Tawārīḥ*,” *The Memoirs of the Institute for Advanced Studies on Asia*, 168, pp. 245-289. **Otsuka**, Osamu, 2016a, “*Shūshi* dai ni kan sekaishi kōtē no shomondai: Muḥammad Rawshan no kōtēbon ni taisuru hihanteki kentō (Some Problems on Editing the Second Volume of the *Jāmi' al-Tawārīḥ*: Critical Review on Muḥammad Rawshan’s Editions),” *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 91. **Otsuka**, Osamu, 2016b, “*Shūshi* no denshō to juyō no rekishi: Mongol shi kara sekai-shi he (The Transmission and Reception of the *Jāmi' al-Tawārīḥ*: from a History of the Mongols to a History of the World),” *The Tōyōshi kenkyū*, 75/2, pp. 68-103. **Otsuka**, Osamu, 2018, “Qāshānī, The First World Historian: Research on His Uninvestigated Persian General History, *Zubdat al-Tawārīḥ*,” *Studia Iranica*, 47, pp. 119-149. **Otsuka**, Osamu, 2019a, “Zubdat al-tawārīḥ,” *Perso-Indica. An Analytical Survey of Persian Works on Indian Learned Traditions*, F. Speziale – C. W. Ernst, eds., forthcoming. **Otsuka**, Osamu, 2019b, “Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ (Replacement volume),” *Perso-Indica. An Analytical Survey of Persian Works on Indian Learned Traditions*, F. Speziale – C. W. Ernst, eds., forthcoming. **Qāshānī**, Abū al-Qāsim, *Zubdat al-tawārīḥ*, Ms. Tehran, Dānišgāh-i Tihārān, 9067, ff. 329a-354b. **Rahman**, Abdur, 1988, *The Last Two Dynasties of the Śāhis: an Analysis of their History, archaeology, coinage and palaeography*, Delhi, Renaissance Publishing House (1st ed. 1979). **Rašīd al-Dīn** Faḏl Allāh Hamadānī, 1374š/2005, *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ*, M. Rawshan, ed., Tehran, Mīrāṭ-i Maktūb. **Rašīd al-Dīn** Faḏl Allāh Hamadānī, 1980, *Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ*, German translation: *Die Indiangeschichte des Rašīd ad-Dīn*, K. Jahn, tr., Wien, Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. **Rašīd al-Dīn**, Faḏl Allāh Hamadānī, *Jāmi' al-Tawārīḥ*, Ms. London, Khalīlī Collection, 727. **Rašīd al-Dīn**, Faḏl Allāh Hamadānī, 1968, *Histoire des Mongols de la Perse*, É. Quatremère, ed., Amsterdam, Oriental Press, pp. cxlvii-clxxv. **Rašīd al-Dīn** Faḏl Allāh Hamadānī, 2537/1978-9, *Laṭā'if al-ḥaqā'iq*, vol. 2, Ġ. Ṭāhir, ed., Tehran, Kitābhāna-yi Markazī. **Rieu**, Charles, 1879-1883, *Catalogue of the Persian manuscripts in the British Museum*, London, British Museum. **Sachau**, Edward C., 2003, *Alberuni's India*, volumes 1 and 2 (bound in one), New Delhi, Low Price Publications (1st ed. 1910). **Sakaki**, Kazuyo, 2000, “Chūsei Islam bunken ni okeru Bukkyō no zen'aku kan: Rašīd al-Dīn no *Shūshi* ni miru rokudō rinne (The Stages of Metempsychosis

in the Teaching of the Buddha in Rashīd al-Dīn's *Majma' al-Tawārīkh*,” *The Journal of the Nippon Buddhist Research Association*, 65, pp. 11-22. **Shiraiwa**, Kazuhiko, 1995, “Shūshi kenkyū no genjō to kadai,” *Annals of Japan Association for Middle East Studies*, 10, pp. 179-198. **Schopen**, Gregory, 1982, “Hīnayāna Texts in a 14th Century Persian Chronicle: Notes on Some of Rashīd al-Dīn's Sources,” *Central Asiatic Journal*, 26, pp. 225-35. **Storey**, Charles Ambrose - Bregel', Jurij Ė., 1972, *Persidskaja literatura: bio-bibliografičeskij obzor*, Moscow, Nauka. **Yoeli-Tlalim**, Ronit, 2013, “Rashīd al-Dīn's *Life of Buddha*: Some Tibetan Perspectives,” in: A. Akasoy - C. Bernett – R. Y. Tlalim, eds., *Rashīd al-Dīn: Agent and Mediator of Cultural Exchanges in Ilkhanid Iran*, London, The Warburg Institute, pp. 197-211.

Satoshi Ogura

Originally published: 11 juillet 2019

How to quote this article:

Ogura, Satoshi, 2019, "Jāmi' al-tawārīḥ", *Perso-Indica. An Analytical Survey of Persian Works on Indian Learned Traditions*, F. Speziale - C. W. Ernst, eds., available at http://www.perso-indica.net/work/jami_al-tawarih.

ISSN: 2267-2753

© 2010 Perso-Indica. All rights reserved